

TABLE OF CONTENTS

COWLITZ INDIAN TRIBE TRUST ACQUISITION AND CASINO PROJECT FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

ES EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

ES.1	Introduction.....	i
ES.2	Purpose and Need	i
ES.3	Alternatives	ii
ES.3.1	Alternative A – Preferred Casino-Resort Project	ii
ES.3.2	Alternative B – Preferred Casino-Resort Project Without Rerouting NW 319 th Street.....	ii
ES.3.3	Alternative C – Reduced Intensity.....	iii
ES.3.4	Alternative D – Business Park.....	iii
ES.3.5	Alternative E – Ridgefield Interchange Site.....	iii
ES.3.6	Alternative F – No Action	iii
ES.4	Areas of Controversy and Conclusions.....	iv
ES.4.1	Alternative A – Preferred Casino-Resort Project	iv
ES.4.2	Alternative B – Preferred Casino-Resort Project Without Rerouting NW 319 th Street.....	v
ES.4.3	Alternative C – Reduced Intensity.....	v
ES.4.4	Alternative D – Business Park.....	v
ES.4.5	Alternative E – Ridgefield Interchange Site.....	vi
ES.4.6	Alternative F – No Action	vi
ES.5	Environmental Consequences and Summary Matrix.....	vi

1.0 PURPOSE AND NEED

1.1	Summary of the Proposed Action and Alternatives.....	1-1
1.1.1	Summary of the Proposed Project	1-2
1.1.2	Proposed Project Location	1-2
1.2	Purpose and Need	1-6
1.3	Overview of the NEPA Environmental Review Process	1-8
1.3.1	Scoping Process.....	1-8
1.3.2	Draft EIS and Comment Period.....	1-8
1.3.3	Final EIS.....	1-9
1.3.4	Record of Decision	1-9
1.4	Scoping	1-10
1.5	Memorandum of Understanding.....	1-10
1.5.1	Law Enforcement	1-12
1.5.2	Fire Protection	1-12

1.5.3	Health Department.....	1-13
1.5.4	Traffic Mitigation	1-13
1.5.5	Sewer and Water.....	1-13
1.5.6	Consistency with County Ordinances.....	1-13
1.5.7	Impacts on County Revenues	1-14
1.5.8	Additional Tribal Commitments.....	1-14
1.6	Regulatory Requirements, Permits and Approvals	1-14
2.0	ALTERNATIVES	
2.1	Introduction.....	2-1
2.2	Alternative A – Preferred Casino-Resort Project.....	2-1
2.2.1	Land Trust Action and Reservation Proclamation.....	2-1
2.2.2	Development and Management Contract	2-3
2.2.3	Casino-Resort Complex.....	2-3
2.2.4	Tribal Facilities.....	2-16
2.3	Alternative B – Preferred Project Without Rerouting NW 319 th Street.....	2-17
2.3.1	Land Trust Action and Reservation Proclamation.....	2-17
2.3.2	Development and Management Contract	2-17
2.3.3	Casino-Resort Complex.....	2-19
2.3.4	Tribal Facilities.....	2-20
2.4	Alternative C – Reduced Intensity	2-20
2.4.1	Land Trust Action and Reservation Proclamation.....	2-20
2.4.2	Development and Management Contract	2-20
2.4.3	Casino-Resort Complex.....	2-20
2.4.4	Tribal Facilities.....	2-24
2.5	Alternative D – Business Park	2-25
2.5.1	Land Trust Action and Reservation Proclamation.....	2-25
2.5.2	Business Park.....	2-25
2.6	Alternative E – Ridgefield Interchange Site	2-28
2.6.1	Land Trust Action and Reservation Proclamation.....	2-28
2.6.2	Development and Management Contract	2-28
2.6.3	Casino-Resort Complex.....	2-28
2.6.4	Tribal Facilities.....	2-34
2.7	Alternative F – No Action.....	2-34
2.8	Comparison of Alternatives	2-34
2.8.1	Summary of Alternatives.....	2-34
2.8.2	Comparison of Environmental Consequences.....	2-35
2.9	Off-Site Alternatives Eliminated from Consideration	2-36
2.10	Selection of Alternative A – Preferred Casino-Resort Project.....	2-41
3.0	DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT	
3.1	Introduction.....	3.1-1
3.2	Geology and Soils.....	3.2-1
3.2.1	Setting – La Center Interchange Site.....	3.2-1
3.2.2	Setting – Ridgefield Interchange Site.....	3.2-12
3.3	Water Resources	3.3-1
3.3.1	Setting – La Center Interchange Site.....	3.3-1

3.3.2	Setting – Ridgefield Interchange Site	3.3-7
3.3.3	Water Quality	3.3-9
3.4	Air Quality	3.4-1
3.4.1	Climate	3.4-1
3.4.2	Regulatory Context.....	3.4-3
3.4.3	Criteria Air Pollutants.....	3.4-9
3.4.4	Existing Air Quality	3.4-13
3.5	Biological Resources	3.5-1
3.5.1	Methodology.....	3.5-1
3.5.2	Regulatory Setting	3.5-1
3.5.3	Setting – La Center Interchange Site	3.5-3
3.5.4	Setting – Ridgefield Interchange Site.....	3.5-18
3.6	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	3.6-1
3.6.1	Setting – La Center Interchange and Ridgefield Interchange Sites	3.6-1
3.6.2	Findings – La Center Interchange Site	3.6-11
3.6.3	Findings – Ridgefield Interchange Site	3.6-14
3.6.4	Regulatory Background.....	3.6-15
3.6.5	Native American Consultation	3.6-16
3.6.6	Paleontological Resources.....	3.6-17
3.7	Socioeconomic Conditions	3.7-1
3.7.1	Socioeconomic Characteristics of the Cowlitz Indian Tribe	3.7-1
3.7.2	Setting – La Center Interchange and Ridgefield Interchange Sites	3.7-3
3.7.3	Environmental Justice.....	3.7-13
3.8	Transportation/Circulation.....	3.8-1
3.8.1	Setting – La Center Interchange and Ridgefield Interchange Sites	3.8-1
3.9	Land Use	3.9-1
3.9.1	Regional Setting	3.9-1
3.9.2	Setting – La Center Interchange Site.....	3.9-1
3.9.3	Setting – Ridgefield Interchange Site.....	3.9-2
3.9.4	Regulatory Setting.....	3.9-5
3.9.5	Agriculture.....	3.9-12
3.10	Public Services.....	3.10-1
3.10.1	Setting – La Center Interchange Site.....	3.10-1
3.10.2	Setting – Ridgefield Interchange Site.....	3.10-10
3.11	Noise	3.11-1
3.11.1	Acoustical Background and Terminology.....	3.11-1
3.11.2	Regulatory Setting.....	3.11-3
3.11.3	Existing Noise Levels.....	3.11-5
3.12	Hazardous Materials	3.12-1
3.12.1	Setting – La Center Interchange Site.....	3.12-1
3.12.2	Setting – Ridgefield Interchange Site.....	3.12-5
3.13	Aesthetics.....	3.13-1
3.13.1	Setting – La Center Interchange Site.....	3.13-1
3.13.2	Setting – Ridgefield Interchange Site.....	3.13-7

4.0	ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES	
4.1	Introduction.....	4.1-1
	4.1.1 Determination of Significance.....	4.1-2
	4.1.2 Jurisdiction and Special Expertise.....	4.1-3
4.2	Geology and Soils.....	4.2-1
	4.2.1 Alternative A – Preferred Casino-Resort Project.....	4.2-1
	4.2.2 Alternative B – Preferred Project Without Rerouting NW 319th Street.....	4.2-3
	4.2.3 Alternative C – Reduced Intensity.....	4.2-4
	4.2.4 Alternative D – Business Park.....	4.2-5
	4.2.5 Alternative E – Ridgefield Interchange Site.....	4.2-7
	4.2.6 Alternative F – No Action.....	4.2-8
4.3	Water Resources.....	4.3-1
	4.3.1 Alternative A – Preferred Casino-Resort Project.....	4.3-1
	4.3.2 Alternative B – Preferred Project Without Rerouting NW 319th Street.....	4.3-9
	4.3.3 Alternative C – Reduced Intensity.....	4.3-9
	4.3.4 Alternative D – Business Park.....	4.3-10
	4.3.5 Alternative E – Ridgefield Interchange Site.....	4.3-12
	4.3.6 Alternative F – No Action.....	4.3-14
4.4	Air Quality.....	4.4-1
	4.4.1 Methodology.....	4.4-1
	4.4.2 Alternative A – Preferred Casino-Resort Project.....	4.4-7
	4.4.3 Alternative B – Preferred Project Without Rerouting NW 319th Street.....	4.4-11
	4.4.4 Alternative C – Reduced Intensity.....	4.4-12
	4.4.5 Alternative D – Business Park.....	4.4-14
	4.4.6 Alternative E – Ridgefield Interchange Site.....	4.4-16
	4.4.7 Alternative F – No Action.....	4.4-18
4.5	Biological Resources.....	4.5-1
	4.5.1 Alternative A – Preferred Casino-Resort Project.....	4.5-1
	4.5.2 Alternative B – Preferred Project Without Rerouting NW 319th Street.....	4.5-8
	4.5.3 Alternative C – Reduced Intensity.....	4.5-11
	4.5.4 Alternative D – Business Park.....	4.5-13
	4.5.5 Alternative E – Ridgefield Interchange Site.....	4.5-16
	4.5.6 Alternative F – No Action.....	4.5-20
4.6	Cultural and Paleontological Resources.....	4.6-1
	4.6.1 Alternative A – Preferred Casino-Resort Project.....	4.6-1
	4.6.2 Alternative B – Preferred Project Without Rerouting NW 319th Street.....	4.6-2
	4.6.3 Alternative C – Reduced Intensity.....	4.6-2
	4.6.4 Alternative D – Business Park.....	4.6-3
	4.6.5 Alternative E – Ridgefield Interchange Site.....	4.6-4
	4.6.6 Alternative F – No Action.....	4.6-5
4.7	Socioeconomic Conditions.....	4.7-1
	4.7.1 Alternative A – Preferred Casino-Resort Project.....	4.7-1
	4.7.2 Alternative B – Preferred Project Without Rerouting NW 319th Street.....	4.7-12
	4.7.3 Alternative C – Reduced Intensity.....	4.7-12
	4.7.4 Alternative D – Business Park.....	4.7-16
	4.7.5 Alternative E – Ridgefield Interchange Site.....	4.7-20

4.7.6	Alternative F – No Action	4.7-25
4.7.7	Tribal Issues.....	4.7-25
4.7.8	Environmental Justice.....	4.7-26
4.8	Transportation/Circulation.....	4.8-1
4.8.1	Introduction	4.8-1
4.8.2	Alternative A – Preferred Casino-Resort Project	4.8-12
4.8.3	Alternative B – Preferred Project Without Rerouting NW 319th Street	4.8-22
4.8.4	Alternative C – Reduced Intensity.....	4.8-24
4.8.5	Alternative D – Business Park.....	4.8-31
4.8.6	Alternative E – Ridgefield Interchange Site.....	4.8-38
4.8.7	Alternative F – No Action	4.8-45
4.9	Land Use.....	4.9-1
4.9.1	Alternative A – Preferred Casino-Resort Project	4.9-1
4.9.2	Alternative B – Preferred Project Without Rerouting NW 319th Street	4.9-8
4.9.3	Alternative C – Reduced Intensity.....	4.9-9
4.9.4	Alternative D – Business Park.....	4.9-11
4.9.5	Alternative E – Ridgefield Interchange Site.....	4.9-13
4.9.6	Alternative F – No Action	4.9-18
4.10	Public Services.....	4.10-1
4.10.1	Alternative A – Preferred Casino-Resort Project	4.10-1
4.10.2	Alternative B – Preferred Project Without Rerouting NW 319th Street ..	4.10-11
4.10.3	Alternative C – Reduced Intensity.....	4.10-15
4.10.4	Alternative D – Business Park.....	4.10-19
4.10.5	Alternative E – Ridgefield Interchange Site.....	4.10-24
4.10.6	Alternative F – No Action	4.10-28
4.11	Noise	4.11-1
4.11.1	Methodology.....	4.11-1
4.11.2	Alternative A – Preferred Casino-Resort Project	4.11-3
4.11.3	Alternative B – Preferred Project Without Rerouting NW 319th Street	4.11-6
4.11.4	Alternative C – Reduced Intensity.....	4.11-9
4.11.5	Alternative D – Business Park.....	4.11-10
4.11.6	Alternative E – Ridgefield Interchange Site.....	4.11-13
4.11.7	Alternative F – No Action	4.11-17
4.12	Hazardous Materials	4.12-1
4.12.1	Alternative A – Preferred Casino-Resort Project	4.12-1
4.12.2	Alternative B – Preferred Project Without Rerouting NW 319th Street	4.12-3
4.12.3	Alternative C – Reduced Intensity.....	4.12-4
4.12.4	Alternative D – Business Park.....	4.12-4
4.12.5	Alternative E – Ridgefield Interchange Site.....	4.12-5
4.12.6	Alternative F – No Action	4.12-6
4.13	Aesthetics	4.13-1
4.13.1	Alternative A – Preferred Casino-Resort Project	4.13-1
4.13.2	Alternative B – Preferred Project Without Rerouting NW 319th Street	4.13-4
4.13.3	Alternative C – Reduced Intensity.....	4.13-5
4.13.4	Alternative D – Business Park.....	4.13-7
4.13.5	Alternative E – Ridgefield Interchange Site.....	4.13-9
4.13.6	Alternative F – No Action	4.13-11

4.14	Indirect and Growth-Inducing Effects	4.14-1
4.14.1	Indirect Effects from Socioeconomic Conditions.....	4.14-1
	Alternatives A and B – Preferred Casino-Resort Project and Preferred Project Without Rerouting NW 319 th Street	4.14-1
	Alternative C – Reduced Intensity	4.14-12
	Alternative D – Business Park	4.14-17
	Alternative E – Ridgefield Interchange Site	4.14-21
	Alternative F – No Action	4.14-25
4.14.2	Indirect Effects from Off-Site Traffic Mitigation.....	4.14-25
	Alternatives A and B – Preferred Casino-Resort Project and Preferred Project Without Rerouting NW 319 th Street	4.14-25
	Alternative C – Reduced Intensity	4.14-30
	Alternative D – Business Park	4.14-33
	Alternative E – Ridgefield Interchange Site	4.14-37
	Alternative F – No Action	4.14-41
4.14.3	Indirect Effects from Mitigation of Discharge of Treated Wastewater	4.14-41
	Alternatives A and B – Preferred Casino-Resort Project and Preferred Project Without Rerouting NW 319 th Street	4.14-41
	Alternative C – Reduced Intensity	4.14-44
	Alternative D – Business Park	4.14-46
	Alternative E – Ridgefield Interchange Site	4.14-46
	Alternative F – No Action	4.14-46
4.14.4	Indirect Effects from Mitigation of Water Supply Impacts.....	4.14-47
	Alternatives A and B – Preferred Casino-Resort Project and Preferred Project Without Rerouting NW 319 th Street	4.14-47
	Alternative C – Reduced Intensity	4.14-49
	Alternative D – Business Park	4.14-50
	Alternative E – Ridgefield Interchange Site	4.14-52
	Alternative F – No Action	4.14-52
4.14.5	Indirect Effects from Natural Gas Supply and Use	4.14-52
	Alternatives A and B – Preferred Casino-Resort Project and Preferred Project Without Rerouting NW 319 th Street	4.14-52
	Alternative C – Reduced Intensity	4.14-55
	Alternative D – Business Park	4.14-56
	Alternative E – Ridgefield Interchange Site	4.14-58
	Alternative F – No Action	4.14-59
4.15	Cumulative Effects.....	4.15-1
4.15.1	Introduction	4.15-1
4.15.2	Alternatives A and B – Preferred Casino-Resort Project and Preferred Project Without Rerouting NW 319 th Street.....	4.15-5
4.15.3	Alternative C – Reduced Intensity.....	4.15-21
4.15.4	Alternative D – Business Park.....	4.15-27
4.15.5	Alternative E – Ridgefield Interchange Site.....	4.15-34
4.15.6	Alternative F – No Action	4.15-41
4.16	Unavoidable Adverse Effects.....	4.16-1
4.16.1	Geology and Soils.....	4.16-1
4.16.2	Water Resources.....	4.16-1

4.16.3 Air Quality.....	4.16-1
4.16.4 Biological Resources	4.16-2
4.16.5 Cultural and Paleontological Resources	4.16-2
4.16.6 Socioeconomic Conditions.....	4.16-2
4.16.7 Transportation/Circulation.....	4.16-3
4.16.8 Land Use.....	4.16-3
4.16.9 Public Services	4.16-3
4.16.10 Noise	4.16-3
4.16.11 Hazardous Materials	4.16-3
4.16.12 Aesthetics.....	4.16-3
5.0 MITIGATION MEASURES	
5.1 Introduction.....	5-1
5.2 Mitigation Measures	5-2
5.2.1 Geology and Soils.....	5-2
5.2.2 Water Resources.....	5-4
5.2.3 Air Quality.....	5-5
5.2.4 Biological Resources	5-7
5.2.5 Cultural and Paleontological Resources	5-11
5.2.6 Socioeconomic Conditions.....	5-11
5.2.7 Transportation/Circulation.....	5-12
5.2.8 Public Services	5-15
5.2.9 Noise.....	5-22
5.2.10 Hazardous Materials.....	5-23
5.2.11 Aesthetics	5-24
6.0 CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION/LIST OF PREPARERS.....	6-1
7.0 ACRONYMS	7-1
8.0 REFERENCES	8-1

LIST OF TABLES

ES-1 Summary of Potential Environmental Effects, Mitigation Measures, and Levels of Significance.....	vii
1-1 Assessor’s Parcel Numbers – La Center Interchange Site.....	1-2
1-2 Potential Permits and Approvals Required	1-15
2-1 Alternatives A and B Casino-Hotel Use Areas.....	2-10
2-2 Design Wastewater Flows – Alternatives A and B.....	2-12
2-3 Alternative C Casino-Hotel Use Areas	2-21
2-4 Design Wastewater Flows – Alternative C.....	2-24
2-5 Alternative D Business Park Use Areas	2-25
2-6 Assessor’s Parcel Numbers – Ridgefield Interchange Site.....	2-29
2-7 Alternative E Casino-Hotel Use Areas	2-31
3.2-1 La Center Interchange Site Soil Limitations.....	3.2-7

3.2-2	Modified Mercalli Intensity Scale	3.2-8
3.2-3	Ridgefield Interchange Site Soil Limitations.....	3.2-16
3.3-1	Washington State Water Quality Objectives for Class AA Waters.....	3.3-10
3.3-2	Averaged Baseline Water Quality Data.....	3.3-13
3.3-3	Water Quality Summary for Clark Public Utilities Regional Water System.....	3.3-15
3.4-1	Ambient Air Quality Standards	3.4-4
3.4-2	Northern Clark County NAAQS Criteria Pollutants and Status.....	3.4-5
3.4-3	Projected 2010 Emissions by County and Source Category (Tons Per Year).....	3.4-14
3.4-4	Particulate Ambient Air Quality ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$).....	3.4-15
3.4-5	Carbon Monoxide Ambient Air Quality (ppm)	3.4-15
3.4-6	Ozone Ambient Air Quality (ppm).....	3.4-16
3.4-7	Global and State Greenhouse Gas Emissions	3.4-18
3.5-1	Summary of Habitat Types – La Center Interchange Site	3.5-4
3.5-2	Waters of the U.S. – La Center Interchange Site	3.5-9
3.5-3	La Center Interchange Site Wetlands	3.5-11
3.5-4	Target Special Status Species List – La Center and Ridgefield Interchange Site.....	3.5-14
3.5-5	Summary of Habitat Types – Ridgefield Interchange Site	3.5-19
3.6-1	Architectural Styles.....	3.6-13
3.6-2	NRHP Recommendations.....	3.6-13
3.7-1	Regional Population Estimates.....	3.7-4
3.7-2	Regional Housing Estimates 2000.....	3.7-6
3.7-3	Residential Unit Development in Primary Area 2000-2005.....	3.7-7
3.7-4	Regional Labor Force Estimates 2000.....	3.7-7
3.7-5	Employment by Industry	3.7-8
3.7-6	Major Employers in Clark County.....	3.7-9
3.7-7	La Center and Ridgefield School District Information 2002-2003.....	3.7-12
3.8-1	Intersection Level of Service Criteria.....	3.8-5
3.8-2	ADT and Peak Hour Period – Existing Conditions	3.8-6
3.8-3	Existing Conditions - AM Peak Hour Intersection Performance.....	3.8-14
3.8-4	Existing Conditions - PM Peak Hour Intersection Performance	3.8-15
3.8-5	Existing Conditions - Saturday Peak Hour Intersection Performance.....	3.8-16
3.9-1	Applicable Clark County Comprehensive GMP Goals and Policies.....	3.9-8
3.9-2	Clark County UDC Setbacks, Lot Coverage and Building Height Standards.....	3.9-10
3.9-3	Farmland Conversion Rating Criteria.....	3.9-12
3.10-1	Clark County Crime 2005.....	3.10-6
3.10-2	Beat 30 – 2004 Average Response Times	3.10-6
3.10-3	CCFD 12 Calls for Service 2004	3.10-9
3.11-1	Acoustical Terminology	3.11-2
3.11-2	Typical A-Weighted Sound Levels of Common Noise Sources.....	3.11-2
3.11-3	Federal Noise Abatement Criteria	3.11-3
3.11-4	Maximum Permissible Noise Levels (dBA) from a Class B EDNA	3.11-5
3.11-5	Summary of Noise Level Measurements for Locations M1 and M2.....	3.11-8
3.11-6	Measured and Existing Traffic Noise Levels.....	3.11-8
3.11-7	Modeled Existing Traffic Noise Levels.....	3.11-9
3.12-1	Environmental Data Resources Summary of Agency Databases	3.12-4
4.3-1	Estimated Treated Stormwater Contaminant Concentrations	4.3-3
4.3-2	Required Reclaimed Water Quality – (Class A).....	4.3-4

4.3-3	Expected Water Quality of Treated Wastewater	4.3-5
4.4-1	Intersections Modeled.....	4.4-2
4.4-2	Intersection LOS Comparisons.....	4.4-3
4.4-3	Summary of CAL3QHC Inputs.....	4.4-4
4.4.4	Maximum CO Concentrations (ppm) – Alternatives A and B	4.4-8
4.4-5	2010 Vehicle Emissions (Tons/Year).....	4.4-8
4.4-6	Stationary Source Combustion Emissions (Tons/Year)	4.4-9
4.4-7	Estimated Total Construction Emissions.....	4.4-10
4.4-8	Maximum CO Concentrations (ppm) – Alternative C.....	4.4-13
4.4-9	Maximum CO Concentrations (ppm) – Alternative D	4.4-15
4.4-10	Maximum CO Concentrations (ppm) – Alternative E.....	4.4-17
4.5-1	Anticipated Effects to Habitat Types – Alternative A	4.5-1
4.5-2	Anticipated Effects to Waters of the U.S. – Alternative A.....	4.5-3
4.5-3	Anticipated Effects to Habitat Types – Alternative B	4.5-8
4.5-4	Anticipated Effects to Waters of the U.S. – Alternative B	4.5-9
4.5-5	Anticipated Effects to Habitat Types – Alternative C	4.5-11
4.5-6	Anticipated Effects to Waters of the U.S. – Alternative C	4.5-13
4.5-7	Anticipated Effects to Habitat Types – Alternative D.....	4.5-14
4.5-8	Anticipated Effects to Waters of the U.S. – Alternative D.....	4.5-15
4.5-9	Anticipated Effects to Habitat Types – Alternative E	4.5-17
4.5-10	Anticipated Effects to Potential Waters of the U.S. – Alternative E	4.5-18
4.7-1	Direct Economic Impact During Construction – Alternatives A - E	4.7-1
4.7-2	Direct Annual Operating Socioeconomic Impact – Alternatives A - E.....	4.7-2
4.7-3	Estimated Annual In-Lieu Payments	4.7-4
4.7-4	Estimated Lost Annual Tax Revenue	4.7-21
4.8-1	Peak Hour Intersection Performance – Build-Out Without Project.....	4.8-11
4.8-2	Trip Generation – Alternatives A and B.....	4.8-13
4.8-3	Weekday AM Peak Hour Intersection Conditions – Build-Out Plus Project Alternatives A and B	4.8-16
4.8-4	Weekday PM Peak Hour Intersection Conditions – Build-Out Plus Project Alternatives A and B	4.8-17
4.8-5	Saturday Peak Hour Intersection Conditions – Build-Out Plus Project Alternatives A and B	4.8-19
4.8-6	Trip Generation – Alternative C	4.8-24
4.8-7	Weekday AM Peak Hour Intersection Conditions – Build-Out Plus Project Alternative C.....	4.8-27
4.8-8	Weekday PM Peak Hour Intersection Conditions – Build-Out Plus Project Alternative C.....	4.8-28
4.8-9	Saturday Peak Hour Intersection Conditions – Build-Out Plus Project Alternative C.....	4.8-30
4.8-10	Trip Generation – Alternative D.....	4.8-32
4.8-11	Weekday AM Peak Hour Intersection Conditions – Build-Out Plus Project Alternative D	4.8-34
4.8-12	Weekday PM Peak Hour Intersection Conditions – Build-Out Plus Project Alternative D	4.8-35
4.8-13	Saturday Peak Hour Intersection Conditions – Build-Out Plus Project Alternative D	4.8-37

4.8-14	Trip Generation – Alternative E	4.8-39
4.8-15	Weekday AM Peak Hour Intersection Conditions – Build-Out Plus Project Alternative E.....	4.8-41
4.8-16	Weekday PM Peak Hour Intersection Conditions – Build-Out Plus Project Alternative E.....	4.8-43
4.8-17	Saturday Peak Hour Intersection Conditions – Build-Out Plus Project Alternative E.....	4.8-44
4.9-1	Proposed Project and Alternatives, Clark County Comprehensive GMP Land Use Consistency.....	4.9-2
4.10-1	Estimated Water Demand – Alternatives A and B	4.10-1
4.10-2	Estimated Solid Waste Disposal – Alternatives A and B	4.10-3
4.10-3	Comparative Casinos.....	4.10-7
4.11-1	Typical Construction Noise Levels.....	4.11-2
4.11-2	Traffic Noise Modeling Results (L _{EQ} DBA) – Alternative A	4.11-8
4.11-3	Traffic Noise Modeling Results (L _{EQ} DBA) – Alternative B	4.11-9
4.11-4	Traffic Noise Modeling Results (L _{EQ} DBA) – Alternative C	4.11-11
4.11-5	Traffic Noise Modeling Results (L _{EQ} DBA) – Alternative D	4.11-12
4.11-6	Traffic Noise Modeling Results (L _{EQ} DBA) – Alternative E	4.11-17
4.14-1	Recommended Traffic Mitigation Area of Impact – Alternatives A and B.....	4.14-26
4.14-2	Recommended Traffic Mitigation Area of Impact – Alternative C.....	4.14-31
4.14-3	Recommended Traffic Mitigation Area of Impact – Alternative D.....	4.14-34
4.14-4	Recommended Traffic Mitigation Area of Impact – Alternative E.....	4.14-38
4.15-1	Ozone Precursor and PM ₁₀ Emissions Long-Term 2030 Conditions Alternatives A and B	4.15-8
4.15-2	Greenhouse Gas CO ₂ Equivalent.....	4.15-9
4.15-3	Alternative A & B Operational Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions.....	4.15-10
4.15-4	Compliance with State Emission Reduction Strategies.....	4.15-11
4.15-5	Ozone Precursor and PM ₁₀ Emissions Long-Term 2030 Conditions Alternative C.....	4.15-22
4.15-6	Alternative C Operational GHG Emissions.....	4.15-23
4.15-7	Ozone Precursor and PM ₁₀ Emissions Long-Term 2030 Conditions Alternative D	4.15-28
4.15-8	Alternative D Operational Greenhouse Gas Emissions.....	4.15-29
4.15-9	Ozone Precursor and PM ₁₀ Emissions Long-Term 2030 Conditions Alternative E.....	4.15-35

LIST OF FIGURES

1-1	Regional Location.....	1-3
1-2	La Center Interchange Site (Alternatives A-D) Project Location.....	1-4
1-3	La Center Interchange Site (Alternatives A-D) Aerial Photograph.....	1-5
2-1	Proposed Site Plan – Alternative A	2-4
2-2	Alternative A – Architectural Rendering (South View)	2-5
2-3	Alternative A – Architectural Rendering (East Porte Cochere View).....	2-6
2-4	Alternative A – Elevation (Porte Cochere).....	2-7
2-5	Alternative A – Elevation (Hotel Tower).....	2-8
2-6	Proposed Site Plan – Alternative B.....	2-18

2-7	Proposed Site Plan – Alternative C.....	2-22
2-8	Proposed Site Plan – Alternative D	2-26
2-9	Proposed Site Plan – Alternative E.....	2-30
3.2-1	La Center Interchange Site (Alternatives A-D) – Elevation Contours	3.2-2
3.2-2	La Center Interchange Site (Alternatives A-D) – Soils Map	3.2-4
3.2-3	Ridgefield Interchange Site (Alternative E) – Elevation Contours.....	3.2-13
3.2-4	Ridgefield Interchange Site (Alternative E) – Soils Map	3.2-14
3.3-1	Water Resources Inventory Area #27	3.3-2
3.3-2	East Fork Lewis River Watershed	3.3-3
3.3-3	Watershed Drainage Map	3.3-4
3.3-4	La Center Interchange Site (Alternatives A-D) – FEMA Floodplain Map.....	3.3-6
3.3-5	Ridgefield Interchange Site (Alternative E) – FEMA Floodplain Map.....	3.3-8
3.5-1	La Center Interchange Site (Alternatives A-D) – Habitat Map	3.5-5
3.5-2	Site Photographs	3.5-6
3.5-3	Site Photographs	3.5-7
3.5-4	La Center Interchange Site (Alternatives A-D) – Wetlands Map.....	3.5-10
3.5-5	Ridgefield Interchange Site (Alternative E) Habitat Map	3.5-20
3.5-6	Site Photographs – Ridgefield Interchange Site (Alternative E)	3.5-21
3.5-7	Site Photographs – Ridgefield Interchange Site (Alternative E)	3.5-22
3.5-8	Ridgefield Interchange Site (Alternative E) Wetlands Map	3.5-23
3.6-1	Early 19 th Century Language Boundaries and Select Villages of Southwestern Washington Coastal Tribes	3.6-3
3.7-1	Primary Socioeconomic Study Area.....	3.7-2
3.7-2	Public Schools in Ridgefield and La Center School Districts	3.7-11
3.8-1	Existing Roadway Network	3.8-2
3.8-2	Project Study Intersections – La Center Interchange and Ridgefield Interchange Sites ...	3.8-3
3.8-3	Vancouver Area Supplemental Locations	3.8-7
3.8-4	Existing AM Peak Hour Turning Movement Volumes – 1	3.8-8
3.8-5	Existing AM Peak Hour Turning Movement Volumes – 2	3.8-9
3.8-6	Existing PM Peak Hour Turning Movement Volumes – 1	3.8-10
3.8-7	Existing PM Peak Hour Turning Movement Volumes – 2.....	3.8-11
3.8-8	Existing Saturday Peak Hour Turning Movement Volumes – 1	3.8-12
3.8-9	Existing Saturday Peak Hour Turning Movement Volumes – 2	3.8-13
3.9-1	County Land Use Designations – La Center Interchange Site	3.9-3
3.9-2	County Zoning Designations – La Center Interchange Site	3.9-4
3.9-3	City of Ridgefield Land Use Designations – Ridgefield Interchange Site	3.9-6
3.10-1	La Center Interchange Site (Alternatives A-D) – Natural Gas Pipeline Locations	3.10-5
3.10-2	Public Services.....	3.10-7
3.10-3	Ridgefield Interchange Site (Alternative E) – Natural Gas Pipeline Locations	3.10-12
3.11-1	Noise Monitoring and Modeling Locations – La Center Interchange Site	3.11-6
3.11-2	Noise Monitoring and Modeling Locations – Ridgefield Interchange Site	3.11-7
3.12-1	La Center Interchange Site (Alternatives A-D) – Site Photographs	3.12-2
3.12-2	Ridgefield Interchange Site (Alternative E) – Site Photographs	3.12-6
3.12-3	Ridgefield Interchange Site (Alternative E) – Site Photographs	3.12-7
3.12-4	Ridgefield Interchange Site (Alternative E) – Site Photographs	3.12-8
3.13-1	Regional Viewsheds – La Center Interchange Site.....	3.13-2
3.13-2	Viewshed A – La Center Interchange Site.....	3.13-3

3.13-3	Viewshed B – La Center Interchange Site.....	3.13-4
3.13-4	Regional Viewsheds – Ridgefield Interchange Site.....	3.13-8
3.13-5	Viewshed C – Ridgefield Interchange Site.....	3.13-9
4.11-1	La Center Interchange Site Estimated Construction Noise Levels	4.11-4
4.11-2	La Center Interchange Site Daytime Operational Noise Levels.....	4.11-5
4.11-3	La Center Interchange Site Nighttime Operational Noise Levels.....	4.11-7
4.11-4	Ridgefield Interchange Site Estimated Construction Noise Levels.....	4.11-13
4.11-5	Ridgefield Interchange Site Daytime Operational Noise Levels.....	4.11-15
4.11-6	Ridgefield Interchange Site Nighttime Operational Noise Levels	4.11-16

APPENDICES*

* Appendices printed in gray text (Vols. I-III) are attached to the DEIS previously published in April 2006. Appendices printed in black text (Vols. IV and VII) are attached to this FEIS.

Volume I (Included as Appendix to the Draft Environmental Impact Study):

Appendix A	Cooperating Agency Letters
Appendix B	Notice of Intent
Appendix C	Memorandum of Understanding between Clark County and Tribe
Appendix D	Draft Tribal Gaming Ordinance
Appendix E	Air Quality Technical Report (CH2MHill, 2006a)
Appendix F	Stormwater/Grading/Erosion Control Requirements (Olson Engineering, 2006a)

Volume II (Included as Appendix to the Draft Environmental Impact Study):

Appendix G	Water/Wastewater Report (Olson Engineering, 2006b)
Appendix H	USFWS Consultation
Appendix I	Biological Resources Assessment (Russell & Associates, 2003)
Appendix J	Washington State Special Status Species List
Appendix K	Clark County Wetland Protection Ordinance
Appendix L	Wetlands Delineation Report (North) (ELS, 2005a)
Appendix M	Final Jurisdictional Determination Letters
Appendix N	Wetlands Delineation Report (South) (The Resource Company, 2004)
Appendix O	Wetlands Buffer Memorandum (ELS, 2005b)
Appendix P	Baseline Surface Water Quality Study (ELS, 2006)
Appendix Q	La Center Interchange Site Cultural Resources Report and SHPO Letter (HRA, 2003)
Appendix R	Ridgefield Interchange Site Cultural Resources Report (AES, 2005b)
Appendix S	Socioeconomics Report (E.D. Hovee, 2006a)
Appendix T	Traffic Impact Study (Parsons Brinckerhoff, 2006a)

Volume III (Included as Appendix to the Draft Environmental Impact Study):

Appendix U	Noise Technical Report (CH2MHill, 2005)
Appendix V	Phase I Site Assessment (K&S Environmental, Inc., 2004)
Appendix W	Database Report La Center Interchange Site (EDR, 2005a)
Appendix X	Database Report Ridgefield Interchange Site (EDR, 2005b)
Appendix Y	Stream Characterization Report (AES, 2005a)

Appendix Z	Tribal Self-Government and Gaming Policy (Taylor, 2005)
Appendix AA	Ridgefield School District MOU
Appendix BB	Service Agreement Letters

Volume IV:

Appendix A	Comment Letter Log	
Appendix B	General Comment Summaries and Responses	
	1.0 Introduction.....	B-1
	2.0 Comments and General Responses	B-2
	2.1 NEPA Procedural Issues.....	B-2
	2.1.1 Extension of the Comment Period	B-2
	2.1.2 Comments Submitted on the Draft Environmental Assessment	B-2
	2.1.3 Comments Submitted on the Preliminary Draft Environmental Impact Statement.....	B-3
	2.1.4 Requirement to Prepare a Supplemental EIS	B-3
	2.1.5 Requirement to Prepare a Programmatic EIS	B-4
	2.2 Non-NEPA Issues.....	B-5
	2.2.1 Expressions of Opinion	B-5
	2.2.2 Matters Beyond the Scope of the EIS	B-5
	2.3 Purpose and Need	B-6
	2.4 Alternatives.....	B-7
	2.5 Water Supply	B-9
	2.6 Wastewater/Water Quality	B-10
	2.7 Stormwater	B-13
	2.8 Air Quality.....	B-14
	2.9 Wetlands.....	B-15
	2.10 Fisheries and Aquatics.....	B-17
	2.11 Terrestrial Species/Habitats.....	B-18
	2.12 Cultural Resources.....	B-18
	2.12.1 Presentation of Ethnographic Data.....	B-18
	2.12.2 Evaluation of Historic Resources.....	B-19
	2.13 Problem Gambling and Crime.....	B-20
	2.13.1 Impacts to Law Enforcement	B-20
	2.13.2 Problem Gambling	B-20
	2.14 Schools	B-21
	2.15 Employment and Housing	B-22
	2.15.1 Employee Income	B-22
	2.15.2 Number of Migrant Workers.....	B-23
	2.15.3 Hot-Bedding or Hot-Bunking	B-24
	2.15.4 Housing Demand.....	B-25
	2.15.5 Property Values.....	B-25
	2.16 Effects to La Center.....	B-26
	2.17 Impacts to Spirit Mountain Casino.....	B-26
	2.18 Quality of Life	B-27
	2.19 Traffic	B-27
	2.20 Noise.....	B-29

	2.21 Land Use Compatibility.....	B-29
	2.22 Indirect and Growth-Inducing	B-30
	2.23 Cumulative Impacts.....	B-31
	2.24 Mitigation Specificity and Enforceability	B-33
Appendix C	Representative Individual Comment Letters and Responses	
	1.0 Introduction	C-1
	2.0 Responses to Comments.....	C-2
	Comment Letters:	
	1. Washington Dept. of Fish & Wildlife, Region Habitat Program	
	2. Clark County Sheriff	
	3. City of Vancouver	
	4. US Environmental Protection Agency	
	5. Board of Clark County Commissioners	
	6. City of Ridgefield	
	7. City of La Center	
	8. Cowlitz Indian Tribe, c/o Patton & Boggs LLP	
	9. Cowlitz County Board of Commissioners	
	10. Port of Ridgefield	
	11. Cowlitz Indian Tribe	
	12. Dept. of Ecology, SW Regional Office, Water Quality	
	13. Washington State Dept. of Transportation, SW Region	
	14. Washington State Dept. of Transportation, SW Region	
	15. Board of Clark County Commissioners	
	16. La Center School District	
	17. Joseph Volz, Attbar, Inc.	
	18. Vancouver Housing Authority	
	19. Cynthia Bushell	
	20. Susan Gilbert, Enterprise/Paradise Point Neighborhood Association	
	21. William F. Byrd, III, Pacific Die Casting Corp.	
	22. Ron and Crystal Holstrom	
	23. Kathreen A. Walling	
	24. Terry Cornelius	
	25. Alvin Alexanderson	
	26. Woodland Chamber of Commerce	
	27. Murray Falk, La Center North Clark County Chamber of Commerce	

Volume V:

Appendix C	Representative Individual Comment Letters and Responses	
	Comment Letter	
	28. Dragonslayer, Inc. and Michaels Development LLC c/o Perkins Coie	
	Part 1: July 14, 2006 Letter	
	Exhibits Volume I	
	Exhibits Volume II	

Volume VI:

Appendix C	Representative Individual Comment Letters and Responses Comment Letters 28. Dragonslayer, Inc. and Michaels Development LLC c/o Perkins Coie Part 1: Exhibits Volume III Part 2: July 12, 2006 Letter Part 3: August 25, 2006 Letter 29. The Confederated Tribes of the Grand Ronde Community of Oregon 30. Jo Ann Wohlers 31. John Di Vittorio, Fish First 32. Robert S. Taylor, Tyee, Chinook Nation 33. Peter Mohn, Citizens Against Reservation Shopping (CARS) 34. Edward Lynch, CARS 35. Susan & Greg Gilbert 36. Stand up for Clark County 37. Michael Lang, Friends of the Columbia Gorge 38. Doug Ballou 39. John McKibben, Greater Vancouver Chamber of Commerce
------------	--

Volume VII:

Appendix D	Notice of Availability of DEIS
Appendix E	Tribal Business Plan
Appendix F	Supplemental Water/Wastewater Report (Olson Engineering, 2006c)
Appendix G	Supplemental Stormwater Report (Olson Engineering, 2006d)
Appendix H	Supplemental Air Quality Report (CH2MHill, 2007)
Appendix I	Biological Assessment and Agency Concurrence Letters
Appendix J	Cultural Resources Documentation
Appendix K	Supplemental Socio-Economics Report and Addendum (Hovee, 2006c and 2007c)
Appendix L	Analysis of Impacts to Spirit Mountain (Hovee, 2007a)
Appendix M	Post-Development Review (Hovee, 2006d)
Appendix N	Vader Site Market Viability & Competition Effects (Hovee, 2007b)
Appendix O	Supplemental Traffic Impact Study (Parsons, 2006e)
Appendix P	Interchange Justification Report (Parsons, 2006d)
Appendix Q	Farmland Conversion Impact Rating Form AD-1006
Appendix R	Clark College MOU
Appendix S	Technical Memo on Impacts to Aquatic Resources (SWCA, 2006)
Appendix T	Baseline Surface Water Sampling & Analysis (ELS, 2007)
Appendix U	Tribal Gaming Ordinance Amendment and Environment, Public Health and Safety (EPHS) Ordinance No. 07-02
Appendix V	Tribal Gaming and EPHS Ordinances Interpretation Agreement Letters
Appendix W	Payment in Lieu of Taxes Agreement Letters